

Broadband wireless access and IP technologies - VBLAST detection algorithm

Yiqing Wang

Xpeedic, Xi'an, Shaanxi Province, China

896278528@qq.com

Abstracts. MIMO technology was proposed as early as 1908 to cope with wireless channel fading. In 1995, Bell Labs was the first to discover the great potential of MIMO system in channel capacity, and in 1996, Foschini of Bell Labs first proposed a space-time coding scheme, i.e., the diagonal-Bell Labs hierarchical space-time model, which can obtain very high spectrum utilization, but due to the complexity of its structure, it is difficult to be applied in practice, and is now rarely investigated. In 1998, P.W. Wolniansky et al. gave a simple and practical space-time coding scheme on this basis, i.e., the vertical-Bell Labs layered space-time model. In 1998, P.W. Wolniansky et al. gave a simple and practical space-time coding scheme on this basis, i.e., Vertical Bell Labs Layered Space-Time (V-BLAST, Vertical Bell Labs Layered Space-Time) model, which can obtain very high spectrum utilisation and is easy to implement, and therefore has received wide attention once it was proposed. In this paper, we focus on airtime layered codes as well as the ZF detection algorithm and the MMSE detection algorithm in VBLAST systems and improve them to further enhance the performance of the two detection algorithms through sequential serial interference cancellation.

Keywords: MIMO, multi-antenna, VBLAST, MMSE detection algorithm

1. MIMO Systems

1.1 Technology Principles

For conventional wireless communication systems, multipath transmission in the channel produces fading, whereas communication systems take advantage of this disadvantage caused by multipath transmission and classify it as an advantage to be exploited. It can be found that the system is equipped

with multiple antennas at both the receiving and transmitting ends, the serial data stream at the transmitting end is sent to the corresponding antenna for transmission after some necessary processing, the transmitted data passes through the wireless channel and is received by the receiving end, where it is then processed using various air-time detection techniques to recover the original signal. In order to separate the sub-streams at the receiving end, we need to minimise the correlation between the received signals, and for this reason the antennas are usually located more than half a carrier away from each other. Unlike the smart antenna case, wireless channels that are independent of each other or have little correlation exist between any transceiver antenna pair of the system. Since the data sub-streams of the system send symbols to the channel simultaneously while sharing the same frequency band, there is no increase in the bandwidth of the system [1]. If the transceiver antenna pairs have response channels that are independent of each other, the data rate of the system can be significantly improved by reducing the system to multiple parallel subspace channels.

In the MIMO system shown, the transmitter is equipped with N wires and the receiver with M antennas. Using $x_i(t)$, ($i=1, 2, \dots, NT$), denoting the information transmitted by the i th transmitting antenna, and $y_j(t)$, ($j=1, 2, \dots, NR$) to denote the information received by the j th receive antenna, and assuming that the channel obeys a Rayleigh distribution, the sub-channel of the MIMO system can thus be represented as:

$$s(t) = [s_1(t), s_2(t), \dots, s_N(t)]^T \quad (1)$$

The symbol $[\bullet]^T$ denotes the transpose of a vector or matrix, similarly the signal on the receiving antenna array can be expressed as.

$$r(t) = [r_1(t), r_2(t), \dots, r_N(t)]^T \quad (2)$$

And the channel impulse response of the MIMO channel between the transmitter and receiver can be expressed as.

$$H(\tau) = \sum_{l=1}^L A_l \delta(\tau - \tau_l) \quad (3)$$

where the complex matrix

$$A_l = \begin{bmatrix} a_{11}^{(l)} & a_{12}^{(l)} & \dots & a_{1N}^{(l)} \\ a_{21}^{(l)} & a_{22}^{(l)} & \dots & a_{2N}^{(l)} \\ \cdot & \cdot & \cdot & \cdot \\ \cdot & \cdot & \cdot & \cdot \\ \cdot & \cdot & \cdot & \cdot \\ a_{M1}^{(l)} & a_{M2}^{(l)} & \dots & a_{MN}^{(l)} \end{bmatrix}_{M \times N} \quad (4)$$

is the channel impulse response matrix corresponding to the time delay T , and $a_{mn}^{(l)}$ is the complex gain of the sub-channel between the n th transmitting antenna and the m th receiving antenna, Eqs. (1-3)

represent a simple model of a tapped delay line, although here the channel coefficients of the L time delays are expressed in terms of matrices, for the MIMO system shown in Fig. 1.1 the transmitting signal vector $s(t)$ and the receiving signal vector $r(k)$ The relationship between them can be expressed as:

$$r(t) = \int H(\tau)s(t - \tau)d\tau \quad (5)$$

1.2 System modelling

We consider a MIMO system with a transmitting array of M_T antennas and a receiving array of M_R antennas. Figure 1 shows a sketch of such a system [2].

The transmit matrix is a $M_T \times 1$ column matrix s . Here s_i is the i th element, transmitted from the i th antenna. We consider the channel to be Gaussian, such that the elements in s are considered to be independently and identically distributed Gaussian functions. If the channel is known at the transmitter side, we assume that the transmitted signal from each antenna has equal power E_s / M_T . The covariance distance array of this transmitted signal is given by the following equation:

$$R_{ss} = \frac{E_s}{M_T} I_{M_T} \quad (6)$$

Here E_s is the power passing through the transmitter without taking into account the number of transmitter antennas M_T , and I_{M_T} is a $M_T \times M_T$ unit matrix. The bandwidth of the transmitted signal is so narrow that its frequency response can be considered flat. (The channel is memoryless). The channel matrix H is a $M_R \times M_T$ sum matrix. The $h_{i,j}$ element of the matrix is the attenuation coefficient from the i th transmitting antenna to the j th receiving antenna. We assume that the received power of each receive antenna is equal to the total transmit power E_s . This means that we can ignore signal attenuation, array gain, and so on. In this way we normalise the constraints on the H matrix elements that determine the channel with Eq7.




Figure 1. Sketch of the MIMO system

$$\sum_{j=1}^{M_T} |b_{i,j}|^2 = M_T, i = 1, 2, \dots, M_R \quad (7)$$

If the channel elements are not deterministic but random, normalisation will apply to the expectations of Eq7. We assume that the channel is known at the receiving end but not at the transmitting end. The channel matrix can be estimated at the receiving end by firing a training sequence. If we require the channel to be known at the transmitter, we need to communicate this information to the transmitter through a feedback channel. The elements of the H-array can be either deterministic or random. The noise at the receiving end is another $M_R \times 1$ column matrix, denoted by n . The elements of n are zero-mean circularly symmetric complex Gaussian variables. The covariance distance matrix of the receiver noise is:

$$R_{nn} = E\{nn^H\} \quad (8)$$

If there is no correlation between the elements n , the covariance distance matrix is:

$$R_{nn} = N_0 I_{M_R} \quad (9)$$

Each M_R receiving branch has equal noise power N_0 . The receiver applies the maximum likelihood criterion to the M_R receiving antennas. The received signals form a $M_R \times 1$ column matrix, denoted r , with each complex element corresponding to a receiving antenna. Since we assume that the total received power of each antenna is equal to the total transmitted power, the signal-to-noise ratio can be expressed by the following equation:

$$\gamma = \frac{E_S}{N_0} \quad (10)$$

Therefore, the receiving vector can be expressed as:

$$r = Hs + n \quad (11)$$

The covariance matrix of the received signal is defined as $E\{rr^H\}$ from equation 2.6:

$$R_{rr} = HR_{ss}H^H \quad (12)$$

Also, the total signal power can be expressed as $tr(R_{rr})$

2. VBLAST system

2.1 Introduction to Hierarchical Space Time Codes

Layered Space-Time codes (LST: Layered Space-Time codes) were originally proposed by Foschini of Bell Labs, and hence are also known as BLAST (Bell Labs Layered Space-Time). As a solution for experimentally telling wireless packet services in MIMO systems, Layered Space-Time codes have attracted much attention due to their great potential for improving band utilisation [3].

Layered space-time codes, together with space-time packet codes and space-time grid codes, belong to a class of space-time codes that require the receiver to be able to estimate the channel accurately. Compared with the latter two, hierarchical airtime codes sacrifice some diversity gain for high-frequency band utilisation. If the receiver can accurately estimate the channel information and ensure that different transmitter and receiver antennas are independent of each other, for a system with N transmitter antennas and M receiver antennas, the channel capacity that can be achieved by hierarchical space-time codes will increase linearly with the increase of $\min(N,M)$. The hierarchical space-time code is the only known coding method in which the band utilisation increases linearly with the number of antennas. This gives it a great advantage for applications in high-speed wireless communications [4].

The basic idea of layered space-time coding technique is: at the transmitter side, the high-speed data service is divided into a number of low-speed data service streams, which are encoded by an ordinary parallel channel coder and then subjected to layered space-time coding, and then sent with multiple antennas after modulation; at the receiver side, it is received with multiple antennas in a diversity of receivers and the accurate channel parameters are obtained through good channel estimation, and the layered judgement feedback is achieved by the linear judgement feedback equalizer interference cancellation, then hierarchical space-time decoding, and finally a single channel decoder to complete the channel decoding work [5].

The channel is assumed to be a narrowband, quasi-static, flat Rayleigh fading MIMO channel environment. Due to the main emphasis on the signal processing part, all signal forms in the following analysis are baseband digital signals.

In a certain time interval transmitting antenna k ($k=1,2,\dots,N$) to the receiving antenna l ($l=1,2,\dots,M$) The channel gain between is denoted as h_{kl} , h_{kl} is a complex Gaussian random variable obeying a mean of 0 and variance of 1. Denote $H=(h_{kl})$ as the channel matrix. The received signal from the receiving antenna l is r_l ($l=1,2,\dots,M$), then r_l is the sum of the superposition of the signals c_k ($k=1,2,\dots,N$) transmitted by N transmitting antennas with Gaussian white noise.

Denote the column vector:

$$\mathbf{r} = \begin{bmatrix} r_1 \\ r_2 \\ \vdots \\ r_M \end{bmatrix}, \quad \mathbf{c} = \begin{bmatrix} c_1 \\ c_2 \\ \vdots \\ c_N \end{bmatrix}, \quad \mathbf{n} = \begin{bmatrix} n_1 \\ n_2 \\ \vdots \\ n_M \end{bmatrix} \quad (2.1)$$

Then there is:

$$\mathbf{r} = \mathbf{H}^* \mathbf{c} + \mathbf{n} \quad (2.2)$$

Here, n_l ($l=1,2,\dots,M$) is the additive Gaussian white noise (AWGN) for each receiving antenna. When the number of receiving antennas, M is larger than the number of transmitting antenna books N , the system capacity grows proportionally to the transmitting antenna book N . The advantage of the hierarchical space-time code is that

$$C \approx N \log_2 \left(\frac{M}{N} \rho \right) \quad M \geq N \quad (2.3)$$

where ρ is the average received signal-to-noise ratio of each receiving antenna.

2.2 Hierarchical space-time code coding principle

Four different hierarchical space-time coding schemes usually exist for signals sent from N parallel channel coders: horizontal layered space-time coding (HLST); vertical layered space-time coding (VLST); diagonal layered space-time coding (DLST); and spiral layered space-time coding (TLST). In the following, it introduces the coding scheme of horizontal and vertical layered space-time codes.

The horizontal hierarchical space-time encoder accepts the output of the parallel channel coder and then spatially encodes it according to the horizontal direction, i.e., the coded code elements of each channel coder are directly fed to the corresponding antenna (the channel coder and the antenna are one-to-one) for transmitting.

The vertically layered space-time code encoder receives the output of the parallel channel coder and then spatially encodes it according to the vertical direction.

The very first first N code elements output by the 1st channel coder are arranged in the first column, the very first first N code elements output by the 2nd channel coder are arranged in the second column, and the j th batch of N code elements output by the i -th channel coder are arranged in the $(i+(j-1)N)$ column. Each column in the matrix of encoded airtime code elements is transmitted simultaneously via N transmitting antennas, respectively.

3. V-BLAST System Detection Algorithm

Linear detection requires that the number of receiving antennas in a MIMO system is not lower than the number of transmitting antennas; otherwise, for linear detection algorithms, better detection performance cannot be obtained even in the absence of noise. Currently, the main linear detection algorithms include the forced zero (ZF) algorithm and the minimum mean square error (MMSE) algorithm, the difference between the two is that the weighting criterion is different. Linear detection

algorithms have lower complexity and are easy to implement, but the detection performance is also relatively poor. Both the ZF algorithm and the MMSE algorithm are essentially the inverse of the channel matrix, and in order to make the inverse of the channel matrix have a unique solution, it is necessary to require that the number of receiving antennas is not less than the number of transmitting antennas, i.e., $M > N$.

3.1 ZF Linear Detection Algorithm.

For the ZF detection algorithm, since the signal received by each pair of antennas at the receiving end of the MIMO system is an amalgamation of multiple transmitted signals, which results in signal interference, the ZF algorithm is to completely eliminate the interference between the signals.

Using the ZF algorithm, the linear weighting matrix for the detection of the signal at the receiver is.

$$G_{ZF} = (H^H H)^{-1} H^H$$

Left-multiplying the received signal r by the linear weighting matrix at the receiving end gives.

$$\begin{aligned} G_{ZF} r &= G_{ZF} (Hs + n) = [(H^H H)^{-1} H^H] (Hs + n) \\ &= s + G_{ZF} n \end{aligned}$$

3.2 ZF linearly ordered serial interference cancellation detection algorithm.

The full ZF detection algorithm is a recursive process that includes a judgement of the best ordering:

$$\begin{aligned} \text{Initial value setting: } G_1 &= H^+ \\ i &= 1 \end{aligned}$$

$$\text{Recursion: } k_j = \arg \min \| (G_i)_j \|^2$$

where $j \notin \{k_1, k_2, \dots, k_{i-1}\}$

$$w_{k_i} = (G_i)_{k_i}$$

$$y_{k_i} = w_{k_i}^T r_i$$

$$\hat{a}_{k_i} = Q(y_{k_i})$$

$$r_{i+1} = r_i - \hat{a}_{k_i} (H)_{k_i}$$

$$G_{i+1} = H_{k_i}^\pm$$

$$i = i + 1$$

3.3 MMSE linear detection algorithm.

The ZF algorithm removes interference from other sub-streams but does so at the cost of amplifying the noise. The MMSE algorithm is also a linear detection technique, where the stage assigns different weights to each of the received sub-streams based on some performance criterion, and the difference between the two lies in the difference in the strategy of determining the weights based on the received signals and the channel matrices.

In the MMSE detection algorithm, the mean value of the mean square error between a linear combination of the transmit vector s and the receive vector $\hat{s}_{MMSE} = G_{MMSE} r$ is minimised, i.e.

$$E[(\hat{s}_{MMSE} - s)^T (\hat{s}_{MMSE} - s)] \text{ minimises}$$

Among them:

$$G_{MMSE} = [H^H H + \sigma^2 I_N]^{-1} H^H$$

where G_{MMSE} is an $M \times N$ matrix of linear joint coefficients, i.e., it is the matrix of weight vectors: e.g., σ^2 the noise variance, and I_N is an $N \times N$ unit array, and $[H^H H + \sigma^2 I_N]^{-1}$ is the generalised inverse matrix of the matrix $[H^H H + \sigma^2 I_N]$.

3.4 MMSE sequential serial interference cancellation detection algorithm.

Suppose that the detection order is $S = \{k_1, k_2, \dots, k_M\}$, which is a permutation of the integers $1, 2, \dots, M$.

Initial value setting:

$$G_1 = H^+ = (H^H H + \sigma^2 I_{M_T})^{-1} H^H$$

$$i = 1$$

$$\text{Recursion: } k_1 = \arg_j \min \|(G_i)_j\|^2$$

where $j \notin \{k_1, k_2, \dots, k_{i-1}\}$

$$w_{k_i} = (G_i)_{k_i}$$

$$y_{k_i} = w_{k_i}^T r_i$$

$$\hat{a}_{k_i} = Q(y_{k_i})$$

$$r_{i+1} = r_i - \hat{a}_{k_i} (H)_{k_i}$$

$$G_{i+1} = (H_i^H H_i + \sigma^2 I_{M_T})^{-1} H_i^H$$

$$k_{i+1} = \arg \min_{j \in \{k_1, \dots, k_i\}} \|(G_{i+1})_j\|^2$$

$$i = i + 1$$

4. Conclusion

Experimentally simulated the error bit rate of VBLAST in in BPSK, modulation mode, channel correlation coefficient is 0 respectively, the number of simulation is 150,000, and its simulation results are shown in the figure below.




Figure 2. Comparison of error bit rate of four detection methods

There are simulation graphical comparisons that show that MM-OSIC has the best detection performance, the algorithm using sequential serial interference cancellation has better performance than the original algorithm, and the minimum mean square error detection algorithm has better detection performance than the broken zero detection algorithm.

References

- [1] Jian Zhang, Research of the MIMO system combining STBC and VBLAST, 5.2006
- [2] XUE TING, The Research of Detection Algorithms in MIMO Spatial Multiplex System, May. 2013
- [3] Wang Hong-xiang, An Improved Detection Algorithms in VBLAST System, Journal of Chongqing Institute of Science and Technology, 4. Vol.13, Aug. 2011
- [4] Wang Hong Hai, A Near Optimal List Detection Algorithm in VBLAST Systems, Signal Processing, 7.Vol.26, July.2010
- [5] Foschini G J. Layered space-time architecture for wireless communication in fading environment when using multiple antennas[J]. Bell Lab Technical Journal, 1996, 1(2): 41-59